Amazon banned Criminal Profiler Pat Brown's book on the Madeleine McCann case

2011, by Joana Morais

After Gonçalo Amaral's book on the Madeleine McCann case was removed from being sold in Amazon, two years ago, due to the McCann's injunction - an injunction that was overruled by a Portuguese superior court last year, now the McCann's lawyers have successfully banned another book. According to the Criminal Profiler Pat Brown on twitter: "the McCanns have had Amazon pull the book, The Profile of the Disappearance of Madeleine McCann" due to "legal conflicts" with the "content and the title".

It's obvious the McCann couple will continue to use their powerful team of lawyers, in the UK and in Portugal, to stifle the Rights of Freedom of Speech and Expression in order to prevent anyone to have a different opinion on the Madeleine McCann case.

Update at 3:00 am GMT: Pat Brown has just confirmed on <u>Levi Page radio show</u> that she was Carter-Rucked by the McCann couple, quote from <u>twitter</u> "I have indeed been Carter-Rucked. Amazon has pulled my book because the McCanns claim it is defamatory."

Update 27 July, 1 am GMT: Pat Brown is going to set up a fund to search Madeleine McCann, on her <u>twitter</u> she stated: "I am setting up the Madeleine Search Fund. We will be looking in Praia da Luz, Huelva, and Rothley."

In <u>Amazon UK</u>, there's an advertisement of what will soon be a new kindle version of Pat Brown's book titled: "BANNED: Profile of the Disappearance of Madeleine McCann - Read the Sample Download (It's the entire book). If you pay for the book, all royalties will go to my Madeleine Search Fund."

Update at 13:00 pm GMT: via <u>Pat Brown's twitter</u>: "Top national US radio show booking me now to discuss the Carter-Rucking of my book, from Amazon!"

Update 27 July: Amazon UK removed Pat Brown's "Banned" book, on <u>facebook</u> Pat Brown posted the email from Amazon that stated:

"Dear Pat,

We have received a notice of defamation from Carter-Ruck Solicitors that says the content of Profile of the Disappearance of Madeleine McCann (UPDATED) B0055WYVCQ, contains defamatory statements regarding their clients, Gerry and Kat McCann.

Because we have no method of determining whether the content supplied to us is defamatory, we

have removed the title from sale and will not reinstate it unless we receive confirmation from both parties that this matter has been resolved.

Carter-Ruck can be reached at: 6 St Andrew Street London EC4A 3AE

T 020 7353 5005

Best regards,

Robert F."

Update 28 July: Pat Brown's book is on sale at <u>Barnes & Noble</u> and at <u>Smashwords</u>, according to <u>Pat Brown's twitter</u> "50% of the book sales will go to the Madeleine Search Fund - Praia da Luz, Huelva, Rothley".

Update 30 July: Pat Brown writes on her blog (read the full post there): Criminal Profiling
Topic of the Day: Why was my Madeleine McCann Book Banned? - "(...) My book is now
at Barnes and Noble and Smashwords (50% of royalties earned to go to the Madeleine Search
Fund for Praia da Luz, Huelva, and Rothley) among a few other online venues. It will be
interesting to see if these outfits also cave to any threat by the McCanns and their solicitors. In
the end, the issue remains between the McCanns and Pat Brown and a court of law should either
party wish to go there as to whether the Profile of the Disappearance of Madeleine McCann is
libelous or their claims that my book is libelous are libelous!

My opinion? My book includes the facts of the case from the police files and the words from Kate's book, Madeleine, and the words of the McCanns from their radio and television interviews. From these facts, I lead readers through the various possibilities of what these facts might tell us and what hypotheses we might develop. In the end, I offer the most plausible theory I have derived from the known public facts. Clearly, it is not a theory the McCanns like and a theory they do not want people to read. I find it rather fascinating that they went to Amazon and had the book removed; this behavior in itself is very suspicious to many people. They believe the McCanns do not want my theory to be considered, that there is something in it that makes them very nervous, and there is more to their getting my book banned at Amazon than not liking stuff someone said about them because it wasn't complimentary. If I am just a nutter and my theory is rubbish, they should have rolled their eyes and laughed it off.

Now, I am sure we will see comments here that will say, "Aw, come on, Pat, the reason the McCanns don't want your crap book out there is because it is libelous, you accused them of murder or of covering up a crime, and you based your 'theory' on tabloid information." I will

counter by saying no where in the book do I accuse the McCanns of a crime - other than leaving their three tiny children unattended and defenseless - and my theory is not based on the tabloids. Since my theory is an opinion to which I am entitled and because my opinion is based on facts (I am not making some outlandish off-the-wall accusations I took from psychics or Internet gossip) and because the McCanns are very public figures, I see nothing in this book that is libelous and, therefore, I have no problem sharing my profiling theory with the world.

If the McCanns are innocent of covering up a crime (following an accidental death), they should view my theory as a reasonable opinion as to what could have happened, but, simply know that, regardless of the strange happenings that would have led to such a hypothesis, this is simply not what occurred. The fact that there is no proof of an abduction - and this is a fact - does not mean an abduction could not have taken place. But, because there is no proof of an abduction, the McCanns should well understand why they might be considered persons-of-interest in the disappearance of the daughter, Madeleine. They should also recognize that their commission of child neglect also might make them persons-of-interest. In other words, rather than sue and threaten everyone with a theory that they, the McCanns, might be involved in the disappearance of their child, a more normal response would be to simply understand why someone might think that way and deal with it

Even better, the McCanns could return to Portugal and clear up the matter. (....)"

Source: https://joana-morais.blogspot.co.za/2011/07/amazon-banned-criminal-profiler-pat.html